The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was **not** written for publication and is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

MAILED

MAR 3 0 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES Paper No. 19

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte EIICHI YOSHIDA and AKIO NAKAJIMA

Appeal No. 2003-1023 Application 09/161,277

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed March 10, 2004 (Paper No. 18). It is not apparent from the record that the examiner considered the statement submitted nor notified applicants of why his submission did not meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for such consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement and for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application 09/161,277

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Bv:


DALE M. SHAW

Program and Resource Administrator (703) 308-9797

gjh

CC: MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
600 13TH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3096

CC: MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3096



2